Introduction



- This PowerPoint presentation explains, why market coupling (or market splitting) makes real competition between spot exchanges unfeasible
 - In this presentation, "spot exchanges" means exchanges where electrical energy is traded day-ahead
 - And where the exchanges uses double auction trading
 - ie, for each hour of the next day, the price is set by calculating the intersection between the exchange's supply and demand curves.
- In this presentation, market coupling is used to illustrate the point
 - ✓ However, the conclusion is the same, whether we have market splitting or market coupling.
- This PowerPoint presentation is animated. It's recommended to run the animation when viewing the presentation.



- For a given country, assume we have 5 competing spot exchanges – all participating in market coupling.
- ⇒ The market coupling will run the following way:
 - ✓ Shortly after gate closure, the five exchanges send their day-ahead bids to the market coupling company (MCC).
 - ✓ For each hour of the following day, MCC calculates the country's electricity price. The MCC calculation is based on:
 - All the country's day-ahead bids (the bids from the 5 exchanges).
 - The capacities on the links to neighbouring countries
 - And the day-ahead bids in these countries.



We are considering one given hour of the next day

- For simplicity, assume for this hour the market coupling creates zero net energy flow to/from the neighbouring countries
 - ✓ ie., the total sales of energy to the country's spot exchanges is equal to the total purchase of energy from the country's spot exchanges – even when the market coupling is taken into account.
- ⇒ Assume MCC calculates a price 40 EUR/MWh for this hour.
- Problem: at the price 40 EUR/MWh, each of the 5 exchanges will normally <u>not</u> have a sale, which is equal to the purchase
 - ✓ For example: an exchange can not pay (all) the sellers, if the sale to the exchange is 500 MWh higher than the purchase from the exchange.
 - ✓ Hence, the exchanges can not do the settlement (also called the clearing)!



- Conclusion: behind the 5 exchanges, there must be a common clearing house.
- However, now the pretended competition between the five exchanges is just a cost-increasing smokescreen
 - ✓ Behind the 5 exchanges, you find the monopolist: the common clearing house.



One hour of the next day.

The market coupling does not create net inflow/outflow of energy





Conclusion from the example – 1

- The clearing house may be replaced by a network of payments between the 5 exchanges
 - ✓ However, this is a very complicated and costly solution; and the payment network now assumes the monopoly role of the clearing house.
 - With the liquidity from the market coupling somehow granted to the "commercial" exchanges?
- Conclusion with market coupling as the day-ahead congestion management system:
- The fair and cost-efficient solution is one, regulated spot exchange per country (or per region)
 - ✓ This exchange must be regulated as a monopoly, as a competing spot exchange does not exist.
- ⇒ Note: it's the clearing (ie, the settlement), which makes the competition unfeasible.



Conclusion from the example – 2

- ⇒ The market coupling company carries out the price calculation.
- ⇒ Hence, the local exchange becomes a clearing house
 - ✓ Carrying out the settlement of the day-ahead exchange trading of electrical energy.
- ⇒ In a later step, the settlement can be centralised
 - ✓ Making it possible for the players to net their dayahead positions over a large geographical area.
 - And eliminating the need for the market coupling company and other cross-border traders to post big, redundant collaterals towards different clearing houses in different countries.
- Then, the local offices become sales offices for this common day-ahead exchange trading system.



Thank you for your attention!

Anders Plejdrup Houmøller *Houmoller Consulting* Tel. +45 28 11 23 00 anders@houmollerconsulting.dk